

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| | | | PJs in State: | 8 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.43 % | 96.56 % | 8 | 96.45 % | 21 | 21 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 90.66 % | 88.47 % | 5 | 87.93 % | 53 | 53 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 1.14 | 1.67 | 4 | 4.73 | 16 | 18 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 83.88 % | 1 | 83.38 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 71.77 % | 76.85 % | 6 | 70.15 % | 42 | 43 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 84.21 % | 65.51 % | 4 | 80.67 % | 53 | 51 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 62.41 % | 45.66 % | 3 | 45.30 % | 83 | 80 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 93.98 % | 71.15 % | 7 | 95.32 % | 24 | 25 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 1 / 8 | Nationally: | 50 52 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$13,312 | \$13,827 | | \$26,635 | 266 Units | 30.40 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,155 | \$12,541 | | \$14,938 | 179 Units | 20.40 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$29,157 | \$24,839 | | \$20,675 | 431 Units | 49.20 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$9,280 | | \$3,216 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Knoxville TN

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$25,248 | \$86,420 | \$39,237 |
| State:* | \$41,337 | \$70,880 | \$32,515 |
| National:** | \$95,185 | \$74,993 | \$23,434 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 3.4 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.75

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 38.4 | 41.6 | 56.8 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 37.2 | 30.9 | 22.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 59.2 | 55.1 | 42.5 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 6.4 | 6.7 | 31.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 49.2 | 44.9 | 17.4 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 4.8 | 13.5 | 16.2 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 2.4 | 3.4 | 6.5 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 39.6 | 32.0 | 36.2 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 46.0 | 1.7 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 31.6 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 18.4 | 19.7 | 18.1 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.8 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 8.4 | 16.9 | 9.3 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 53.2 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 1.6 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 10 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Knoxville State: TN Group Rank: 50
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 1 / 8 PJs Overall Rank: 52
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 76.20% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.90% | 71.77 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 84.21 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.88% | 93.98 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.550 | 1.62 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

